Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2020-25 Quality Assurance Funding

Standard 3: Academic Programs

Date:



Program Review: Graduate Program	
Institution:	
Academic Program:	
Award:	CIP:
Embedded Certificates:	
In	tructions for External Reviewer(s)
	lity Assurance Program Funding guidelines of the Tennessee Higher on-accreditable graduate program undergoes either an academic audit pre-approved review cycle.
Rubric lists 32 criteria grouped into distribute points in to graduate prog	m appear in the following <i>Program Review Rubric</i> . The <i>Program Review</i> six categories. THEC will use these criteria to assess standards and rams. The four criteria noted with an asterisk are excluded from the e institution in their overall assessment.
Study. Supporting documents will I reviewer, you should evaluate this determine whether each criterion windicate whether the program curre	the responsible program has provided evidence in the form of a <i>Self</i> -e available for review as specified in the <i>Self-Study</i> . As the external evidence and any other evidence observed during the site visit to thin a standard has been met. Please mark the appropriate box to tall exhibits poor, fair, good or excellent in meeting the criterion. If a per not applicable to the program under review, the item should be
the department, college and central When combined with the written re	record of the academic program review. The rubric will be shared with dministration, as well as the Tennessee Higher Education Commission ort, prepared by the entire program review committee, the <i>Program</i> of a program action plan to ensure continuous quality improvement.
Your judgment of the criteria will be u	ed in allocating state funds for the university's budget.
In	titutional Affiliation of Reviewer(s)
Name:	Name:
Title:	Title:
Institution:	Institution:
Signature:	Signature:

Date:

Program Review Rubric Graduate Programs

Directions: Please rate the quality of the academic program by marking the appropriate box to indicate whether the program currently exhibits poor, fair, good or excellent evidence of meeting the criterion.

1. Learning Outcomes		N/A	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
1.1	Program and student learning outcomes are clearly identified and measurable.					
1.2	The program uses appropriate evidence to evaluate achievement of program and student learning outcomes.					
1.3	The program makes use of information from its evaluation of program and student learning outcomes and uses the results for continuous improvement.					
1.4	The program directly aligns with the institution's mission.					
2. C	urriculum	N/A	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
2.1	The curriculum content and organization is reviewed regularly and the results are used for curricular improvement.					
2.2	The program has developed a process to ensure courses are offered regularly and that students can make timely progress towards their degree.					
2.3	The program reflects progressively more advanced academic content than its related undergraduate programs.					
2.4	The curriculum is aligned with and contributes to mastery of program and student learning outcomes identified in 1.1.					
2.5	The curriculum is structured to include knowledge of the literature of the discipline.					
2.6	The curriculum strives to offer ongoing student engagement in research and/or appropriate professional practice and training experiences.					
2.7	Programs offered entirely through distance education technologies are evaluated regularly to assure achievement of program outcomes at least equivalent to on-campus programs.					
2.8	The program incorporates appropriate pedagogical and/or technological innovations that advance student learning into the curriculum.					
3. Student Experience		N/A	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
3.1	The program ensures a critical mass of students to ensure an appropriate group of peers.					
3.2	The program provides students with the opportunities to regularly evaluate the curriculum and faculty relative to the quality of their teaching effectiveness.					

3.3	The program provides adequate professional					
	development opportunities, such as encouraging					
	membership in professional associations, participation in					
	conferences and workshops, and opportunities for					
	publication.					
3.4	The program provides adequate enrichment					
3.4						
	opportunities, such as lecture series, to promote a					
	scholarly environment.					
3.5	The program seeks to include diverse perspectives and					
	experiences through curricular and extracurricular					
	activities.					
3.6	Students have access to appropriate academic support					
	services.					
4 5		21/0	D	F - !	C I	Formall and
4. Fá	aculty	N/A	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
4.1	All faculty, full time and part-time, meet the high					
	standards set by the program and expected SACSCOC					
	guidelines for credentials.					
4.2	The faculty teaching loads are aligned with the highly					
	individualized nature of graduate instruction, especially					
	the direction of theses or dissertations.					
4.3*	The faculty strives to cultivate diversity with respect to					
4.5"	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	gender, ethnicity, and academic background, as					
	appropriate to the demographics of the discipline.					
4.4	The faculty engages in regular professional development					
	that enhances their teaching, scholarship and practice.					
4.5	The faculty is actively engaged in planning, evaluation and					
	improvement processes that measure and advance					
	student success.					
4.6	The program uses an appropriate process to incorporate					
	the faculty evaluation system to improve teaching,					
	scholarly and creative activities, and service.					
					_	
5. Le	earning Resources	N/A	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
5.1*	The program regularly evaluates its equipment and					
	facilities, encouraging necessary improvements within the					
	context of overall institutional resources.					
5.2	The program has access to learning and information					
	resources that are appropriate to support teaching and					
	learning.					
5.3	The program provides adequate materials and support					
5.5	1					
	staff to encourage research and publication.					
6. St	6. Support		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
6.1*	The program's operating budget is consistent with the					
	needs of the program.					
6.2*	The program has a history of enrollment and/or					
	graduation rates sufficient to sustain high quality and					
	cost-effectiveness.					
	COSE CHECKIVEHESS.					

6.3	The program is responsive to local, state, regional, and national needs.			
6.4	The program regularly and systematically collects data on graduating students and evaluates placement of graduates.			
6.5	The program's procedures are regularly reviewed to ensure alignment to institutional policies and mission.			

^{*}Criteria not scored as part of Quality Assurance Funding.