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# NSSE 2019 Engagement Indicators 

## Comparisons with High-Performing Institutions Middle Tennessee State University

## Comparisons with Top 50\% and Top 10\% Institutions

While NSSE's policy is not to rank institutions (see nsse.indiana.edu/links/PNP), the results below are designed to compare the engagement of your students with those attending two groups of institutions identified by NSSE $^{\text {a }}$ for their high average levels of student engagement:
(a) institutions with average scores placing them in the top $50 \%$ of all 2018 and 2019 NSSE institutions, and
(b) institutions with average scores placing them in the top $10 \%$ of all 2018 and 2019 NSSE institutions.

While the average scores for most institutions are below the mean for the top $50 \%$ or top $10 \%$, your institution may show areas of distinction where your average student was as engaged as (or even more engaged than) the typical student at high-performing institutions. A check mark $(\checkmark)$ signifies those comparisons where your average score was at least comparable ${ }^{b}$ to that of the high-performing group. However, the presence of a check mark does not necessarily mean that your institution was a member of that group.

It should be noted that most of the variability in student engagement is within, not between, institutions. Even "high-performing" institutions have students with engagement levels below the average for all institutions.

| First-Year Students |  | MTSU Mean | Your first-year students compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSSE Top 50\% | NSSE Top 10\% |  |  |
| Theme | Engagement Indicator |  | Mean | Effect size | $\checkmark$ | Mean | Effect size | $\checkmark$ |
|  | Higher-Order Learning |  | 38.4 | 39.3 * | -. 07 |  | 41.0 *** | -. 20 |  |
| Academic | Reflective and Integrative Learning | 37.5 | 36.8 | . 06 | $\checkmark$ | 38.8 ** | -. 11 |  |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | 39.3 | 39.9 | -. 04 | $\checkmark$ | $42.5{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 23 |  |
|  | Quantitative Reasoning | 28.3 | 29.3 | -. 07 | $\checkmark$ | 30.8 *** | -. 16 |  |
| Learning | Collaborative Learning | 35.1 | 35.4 | -. 02 | $\checkmark$ | $37.7^{* * *}$ | -. 19 |  |
| with Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | 42.8 | 41.3 ** | . 10 | $\checkmark$ | 43.3 | -. 03 | $\checkmark$ |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | 23.7 | 24.9 * | -. 08 |  | 28.0 *** | -. 27 |  |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | 39.8 | 40.6 | -. 06 | $\checkmark$ | 42.7 *** | -. 21 |  |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | 43.2 | $44.9{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 15 |  | $47.1{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 33 |  |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | 37.1 | 38.1 * | -. 08 |  | $40.1{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 22 |  |
| Seniors |  | MTSU Mean | Your seniors compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NSSE Top 50\% |  |  | NSSE Top 10\% |  |  |
| Theme | Engagement Indicator |  | Mean | Effect size | $\checkmark$ | Mean | Effect size | $\checkmark$ |
|  | Higher-Order Learning | 39.8 | 41.8 ** | -. 15 |  | 43.0 *** | -. 24 |  |
| Academic | Reflective and Integrative Learning | 38.3 | 39.9 ** | -. 13 |  | 41.6 *** | -. 27 |  |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | 39.2 | 40.8 * | -. 11 |  | 42.6 *** | -. 23 |  |
|  | Quantitative Reasoning | 29.9 | 31.3 | -. 08 | $\checkmark$ | $32.7{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 18 |  |
| Learning | Collaborative Learning | 34.3 | 36.1 ** | -. 13 |  | $38.6{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 32 |  |
| with Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | 43.5 | 42.0 * | . 09 | $\checkmark$ | 43.5 | . 00 | $\checkmark$ |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | 27.4 | 29.9 *** | -. 16 |  | 33.9 *** | -. 41 |  |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | 40.1 | 41.8 ** | -. 12 |  | 43.5 *** | -. 25 |  |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | 43.0 | 45.2 *** | -. 19 |  | $47.4{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 37 |  |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | 32.4 | 34.8 *** | -. 17 |  | 37.0 *** | -. 32 |  |

[^0]
[^0]:    Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation; ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<.001$ (2-tailed).
    a. Precision-weighted means (produced by Hierarchical Linear Modeling) were used to determine the top $50 \%$ and top $10 \%$ institutions for each Engagement Indicator from all NSSE 2018 and 2019 institutions, separately by class. Using this method, Engagement Indicator scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors were adjusted toward the mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors received smaller corrections. As a result, schools with less stable data-even those with high average scores-may not be among the top scorers. NSSE does not publish the names of the top $50 \%$ and top $10 \%$ institutions because of our commitment not to release institutional results and our policy against ranking institutions.
    b. Check marks are assigned to comparisons that are either significant and positive, or non-significant with an effect size $>-.10$.

